1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P. REBECCA A. PETERSON (241858) ROBERT K. SHELQUIST 100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401 Telephone: (612) 339-6900 Facsimile: (612) 339-0981 E-mail: rapeterson@locklaw.com	
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
10		
11	LUDMILA GULKAROV, JANINE) Case No. 21-cv-00913-YGR
12	TORRENCE, KELLY MCKEON, and JOSH CRAWFORD, Individually and on)
13	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL) MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO
14	Plaintiffs,) MOTION TO TRANSFER THESE
15	V.) RELATED CASES TO THE UNITED) STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT
16	PLUM, PBC, and PLUM, INC., Delaware corporations,) OF NEW JERSEY)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TRANSFER CASE NO. 21-cv-00913-YGR	

1
 2
 3

4

5

6

7

9

1011

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to this Court's July 6, 2021 Court Order (Dkt. 74), Plaintiffs Ludmila Gulkarov, Janine Torrence, Kelly McKeon, Josh Crawford, Vanessa Mathiesen, Jessica David, and Heather Age (collectively, "*Gulkarov* Plaintiffs") submit this supplemental briefing in opposition to Defendants' motion to transfer this action to New Jersey. (Dkt. 34.).

I. ARGUMENT

A court must have personal jurisdiction over a defendant, and this is true for any requested transferee court. See Daimler AG v. Bauman, 134 S. Ct. 746, 753 (2014); Pac. Coast Marine Windshields v. Malibu Boats, No. 1:11-CV-01594-LJO, 2011 WL 6046308, at *4 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 5, 2011), report and recommendation adopted sub nom. Pac. Coast Marine Windshields v. Boats, No. 1:11-CV-1594 LJO BAM, 2012 WL 12903557 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2012) ("Well-established authority makes clear that a transferee court must have jurisdiction over the defendants in the transferred complaint."). When evaluating general personal jurisdiction, "courts must examine the defendant's contacts with the forum at the time of the events underlying the dispute when determining whether they have jurisdiction." Steel v. U.S., 813 F.2d 1545, 1548 (9th Cir. 1987). See Delphix Corp. v. Embarcadero Techs., Inc., 749 F. App'x 502, 505 (9th Cir. 2018). "[A] general jurisdiction inquiry should consider all of a defendant's contacts with the forum state prior to the filing of the lawsuit, rather than just those contacts that are related to the particular cause of action the plaintiff asserts." Doe v. Dinnis, No. 18-CV-05393-DMR, 2020 WL 9422326, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 24, 2020), report and recommendation adopted, No. 18-CV-05393-YGR, 2020 WL 9422398 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 7, 2020) (quoting Delphix Corp., 749 F. App'x at 506). In determining how far back from the events giving rise to the suit, "most courts use a 'reasonable time' standard yielding timeframes of roughly three to seven years." Delphix Corp., 749 F. App'x at 506 (citations omitted). As such,

the relevant time period to determine whether Plum had sufficient contacts with New Jersey is 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

12

13

10

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

2012-2019 – the seven year period leading up to 2019 when the Subcommittee on Economic and Consumer Policy started its investigation into the heavy metals in Plum baby food. (Dkt. 15-1 at 3.) An inquiry into specific jurisdiction "focuses on the relationship among the defendant, the forum, and the litigation,' and 'the defendant's suit-related conduct must create a substantial connection with the forum." Alejandro Fernandez Tinto Pesquera, S.l. v. Fernandez Perez, No. 20-CV-02128-LHK, 2021 WL 254193, at *8 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 2021) (quoting Walden v. Fiore, 571 U.S. 277, 285 (2014)). The facts do not support a New Jersey court exercising general or specific personal jurisdiction over Plum during the majority of the relevant period even if Plum can establish its headquarters were completely transferred to New Jersey by January 2019.

Plum did not have significant contact with New Jersey during the relevant time period for this case. The Gulkarov Plaintiffs' claims relate to Plum's failure to properly formulate, manufacture, test, package, and advertise its baby foods. Plum did not manufacture, test, package, or manufacture its baby food in New Jersey during the majority of the time period at issue here. The declaration of Kelly J. Stewart submitted by Plum fails to state that the formulation, manufacturing, testing, packaging, or advertising of Plum's baby food has ever occurred in New Jersey at all. (Dkt. 34-1.) And publicly available information fails to show that any of Plum's activity at issue here occurred in New Jersey at any time during the relevant period. To the contrary, this information indicates that Plum's relevant conduct remained in California throughout any reasonable relevant period. When Campbell acquired Plum in 2013, it stated that Plum's operations would remain in Emeryville, California and will be operated as a

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/campbell-soup-buys-plum-organics/

INTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TRANSFER CASE NO. 21-cv-00913-YGR

"standalone business." Following this directive, [s]enior members of Plum Organics' 1 management team, including Grimmer, will join Campbell, and continue to lead Plum and its 2 mission-driven culture from Emeryville." Mr. Grimmer stayed on as an executive in California 3 4 for over five years and departed in March 2019. (Declaration of Rebecca A. Peterson ("RAP 5 Dec."); Exs. 1-3.)4 During this time, Campbell had two or three principal manufacturing plants 6 in California that included the Plum business segment and none in New Jersey that manufactured 7 Plum products. (RAP Dec. Exs. 4-6.) It does not appear that any employee of Plum is located in 8 New Jersey, yet there are various employees that appear to be located in California. (RAP Dec. Exs. 2-3.) 10 11 12 13

Additionally, Plaintiff Gulkarov filed the first action against Plum in this District and her claims arise from purchases of Plum's baby food made from approximately 2014 through 2017—a time period Defendant does not dispute it was located in California. (Dkt. 15; ¶23.) This is the same time period during which Plaintiff David made Plum purchases. Likewise, the claims of Plaintiffs McKeon and Crawford arise from purchases of Plum baby food made in 2018—when Plum was still headquartered in California. (Dkt. 15; ¶¶27-29.) As such, the relevant time period for most of the *Gulkarov* Plaintiffs' claims cover when there is no dispute that Plum's conduct emanated from California—not New Jersey—even if Plum's current assertion that it completely

21

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 https://www.campbellsoupcompany.com/newsroom/press-releases/campbell-to-acquire-plum-organics-a-leading-premium-organic-kids-nutrition-company/

 $25 \parallel_3$

26

27

28

3

moved its headquarters to New Jersey by 2019 is correct.

⁴ https://www.waterinno.com/people-bio/neil-grimmer

Plum claims that its January 27, 2021, filing with the California Secretary of State was in error. But Plum also filed a Statement of Information on December 26, 2019, that likewise listed Emeryville, California as its headquarters. (RAP Dec. Ex. 7.) In Plum's February 22, 2021, Certificate of Surrender filed with California's Secretary of State, it did not state that the surrender would retroactively apply to any previous years. (RAP Dec. Ex. 8.) This 2021 filing also stated: "All final returns required under the California Revenue and Taxation Code have been or will be filed with the California Franchise Tax Board," but failed to indicate if those filings would cover the years Plum claims it was not a California business. (Id.) These issues should be addressed by Plum if the Court is inclined to find that Plum has transferred all of its business operations out of California, and the Gulkarov Plaintiffs likewise respectfully request discovery on this issue.

Therefore, the Court should deny Defendant's motion to transfer this action to New Jersey for lack of personal jurisdiction along with the reasons stated in *Gulkarov* Plaintiffs' prior submissions.

Dated: July 12, 2021 LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.

ROBERT K. SHELQUIST REBECCA A. PETERSON

By: s/ Rebecca A. Peterson

100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200

Minneapolis, MN 55401 Telephone: (612) 339-6900 Facsimile: (612) 339-0981

E-mail: rkshelquist@locklaw.com rapeterson@locklaw.com

25

26

27 28

	LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG & AFANADOR, LLC
1	Joseph DePalma
2	Susana Cruz Hodge
ا ر	570 Broad Street, Suite 1201
3	Newark, NJ 07102 Telephone: (973) 623-3000
4	E-mail: jdepalma@litedepalma.com
	scruzhodge@litedepalma.com
5	and a get the state of the stat
6	CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP
	Charles LaDuca
7	Katherine Van Dyck
8	C. William Frick
8	4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 200
9	Washington, DC 20016
.	Telephone:(202) 789-3960 Facsimile: (202) 789-1813
10	E-mail: charles@cuneolaw.com
11	kvandyck@cuneolaw.com
	bill@cuneolaw.com
12	
13	GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
13	Daniel E. Gustafson
14	Mary M. Nikolai
1.5	Catherine K. Smith
15	Canadian Pacific Plaza
16	120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402
	Telephone: (612) 333-8844
17	E-mail: dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com
18	mnikolai@gustafsongluek.com
	csmith@gustafsongluek.com
19	
20	GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
20	Dennis Stewart (SBD 99152)
21	600 B Street 17th Floor
22	San Diego, CA 92101
22	Telephone: (619) 595-3299
23	E-mail: dstewart@gustafsongluek.com
_	
24	
25	
_	
26	
27	5
<u> </u>	5 PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO
28	TRANSFER
	CASE NO. 21-cv-00913-YGR

1	WEXLER WALLACE, LLP Kenneth A. Wexler
2	Kara A. Elgersma
	55 West Monroe, Suite 3300
3	Chicago, IL 60603
4	Telephone: (312) 346-2222 E-mail: kaw@wexlerwallace.com
5	kae@wexlerwallace.com
6	TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU, LLP
_	Kevin Landau
7	Miles Greaves 80 Maiden Lane, Suite 1204
8	New York, NY 10038
	Telephone: (212) 931-0704
9	E-mail: klandau@tcllaw.com
10	mgreaves@tcllaw.com
11	SALTZ, MONGELUZZI, & BENDESKY, P.C.
12	Simon B. Paris
	Patrick Howard 1650 Market Street, 52nd Floor
13	Philadelphia, PA 19103
14	Telephone: (215) 575-3895
-	E-mail: sparis@smbb.com
15	phoward@smbb.com
16	SAUDER SCHELKOPF
17	Matthew D. Schelkopf Lori G. Kier
18	Davina C. Okonkwo
10	1109 Lancaster Avenue
19	Berwyn, PA 19312
20	Telephone: (610) 200-0581
20	E-mail: mds@sstriallawyers.com
21	lgk@sstriallawyers.com
22	dco@sstriallawyers.com
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	6
	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO
28	TRANSFER CASE NO. 21-cy-00913-YGR

1	CALCATERRA POLLACK LLP Michael Liskow
2	Janine L. Pollack
	1140 Avenue of the Americas, 9 th Floor
3	New York, NY 10036
4	Telephone: (917) 899-1765 E-mail: mliskow@calcaterrapollack.com
5	jpollack@calcaterrapollack.com
6	GEORGE GESTEN MCDONALD, PLLC
7	Lori G. Feldman 102 Half Moon Bay Drive
	Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520
8	Telephone: (917) 983-9321
9	E-mail: LFeldman@4-Justice.com
	E-service: eService@4-Justice.com
10	GEORGE GESTEN MCDONALD, PLLC
11	David J. George
1.2	Brittany L. Brown
12	9897 Lake Worth Road, Suite #302
13	Lake Worth, FL 33467 Telephone: (561) 232-6002
14	E-mail: DGeorge@4-Justice.com
17	E-service: eService@4-Justice.com
15	
16	CARLSON LYNCH LLP Todd D. Carpenter (234464)
	Scott G. Braden (305051)
17	1350 Columbia Street, Suite 603
18	San Diego, CA 92101
19	Telephone: (619) 762-1900
19	E-mail: tcarpenter@carlsonlynch.com sbraden@carlsonlynch.com
20	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	7
	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO
28	TRANSFER CASE NO. 21-cy-00913-YGR